

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/822,269	NUMATA, KOHJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Onuaku	2616	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Onuaku.

(2) Sean M McGinn, Esq.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to change "32 pull-down" to "3:2 pull-down" as recited in claim 8 to facilitate the prosecution of the case.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)